FIELDS & LORENTZ TEANSFORMATIONS

In class we saw that observers in two different frames of reference may disagree on things like Charge densities, currents, and fields. How does this work?

First, let's remind ourselves how our spacetime description of an event changes between reference frames.

Suppose I am in an "inertial" reference frame. That is, Newton's Laws accurately describe my observations about Forces, accelerations, etc.

I will describe where things happen using a coordinate system w/me @ its origin, and when they happen by reading a time off my watch.

Meanwhile, you zip past me moving w/ constant velocity $\vec{u} = u \hat{u}$. We are briefly in the same place as you pass by, and we sync ar watches @ that instant so they both read 'O'.

So as a result, we agree on the 'where' and 'when' of that event. I say that you passed me $C \overrightarrow{r} = 0 \overrightarrow{e} \overrightarrow{t} = 0$ moving w/ velocity $\overrightarrow{u} = u \widehat{u}$. You say that I passed you $C \overrightarrow{t} = 0$

 $\vec{r}' = 0 \quad \epsilon \quad t' = 0 \quad \text{moving } \omega / \text{velocity} - \mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}.$

Now suppose I notice some event that happens C position \vec{r}_1 $\dot{\epsilon}$ time t_1 . You will assign different

spacetime coords to that event.

- First, let me orient my axes so that one of them is the dir. û I see you moving in.



$\vec{r}_{1} = \vec{r}_{1,1}\hat{u} + \vec{r}_{1,1} \quad w \mid \vec{r}_{1,1} \cdot \hat{u} = 0$

So if you were moving in what I called the \hat{x} direction, then $\Gamma_{1,11}$ would be $X_1 \notin \overline{\Gamma}_{1,1}$ would be $Y_1 \hat{y} + \overline{z}_1 \hat{z}$. But this lets us write our results more generally.

- In your frame of reference, you will describe this event differently. You'll agree w/ my $\vec{r}_{1,\perp}$ but not t_1 or $t_{1,\parallel}$: $t_1' = \delta(u) \cdot (t_1 - \beta(u) \frac{1}{c} r_1) \qquad \beta(u) = \frac{u}{c}$ $r_{1,\parallel} = \delta(u) \cdot (r_{1,\parallel} - \beta(u) c t_1) \qquad \delta(u) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \beta(u)^2}}$

This is the same Lorentz transformation you've seen before, written in a way that leaves the direction of \vec{n} arbitrary. (Check that this looks like what you expect for $\vec{n} = n \hat{x}$!)

- Now, suppose I see a collection of charge & currents. I'll describe them in terms of a charge density p

and a current density J, which I'll write as:

You will describe the same collectron of charges & currents differently. That makes sense; something I say is @ rest will appear to be moving of vel - un in your frame of reference. $\varphi' = \sigma(u) \cdot \left(\rho - \beta(u) \frac{1}{C} J_{||} \right)$ $J_{II}' = \gamma(u) * (J_{II} - \beta(u) C \rho)$ - This also applies to a line charge density $\lambda \in a$ current $\overline{\mathbf{I}} = \mathbf{I}_{\parallel} \hat{\mathbf{u}} + \overline{\mathbf{I}}_{\perp}$

> $\lambda' = \sigma(u) \cdot \left(\lambda - \beta(u) \frac{1}{C} I_{\parallel}\right)$ $\mathbf{I}_{\mu}' = \delta(\mathbf{u}) \times (\mathbf{I}_{\mu} - \mathbf{B}(\mathbf{u}) \subset \mathbf{X})$ $\mathbf{T}_{1} = \mathbf{T}_{1}$

= 0

So, suppose I see a line charge density > consisting of a row of evenly spaced charges, but no current $(\vec{I} = 0)$. You would interpret that as

 $\lambda' = \delta(u) \lambda = \frac{\lambda}{\sqrt{1-u^2/c^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2/c^2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-u^2/c$ closer together bie of LENATH Contreactions.

 $I'_{11} = -\delta(w) \beta(w) c \rangle = -\frac{w}{1-w^2/c^2} + A \text{ ine charge } \frac{w}{1-w^2/c^2} + \frac{w}{1-w^2/c^2} +$ current - X'u û.

- Likewise, if I saw a line charge > moving w/ vel. wû (so I = Xu î) you would perceive that as a stationary Charge density:

 $\lambda' = \delta(u) \times \left(\lambda - \beta(u) \frac{1}{c} \lambda u\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - u_{2}^{2}}} \times \left(1 - \frac{u^{2}}{c^{2}}\right) \times = \sqrt{1 - u_{2}^{2}} \lambda$ $I_{\parallel} = \sigma(u) \times (\lambda u - \beta(u) c \lambda) = O$ ī.′

Since you & I tend to disagree on charge & current densities, We naturally disagree on the fields they produce.

- If I say that a collection of charges $\not\in$ currents produce an electric field \vec{E} $\not\in$ magnetic field \vec{B} , then you see different fields $\vec{E}' \not\in \vec{B}'$.
- Let me write É é B as
 - $\vec{E} = \vec{E}_{11} \cdot \hat{u} + \vec{E}_{1} \qquad \vec{B} = \vec{B}_{11} \cdot \hat{u} + \vec{B}_{1}$ $\vec{F}_{11} = \vec{E} \cdot \hat{u} \quad \text{is the} \qquad \vec{OF} \quad \vec{E} \quad \text{part} \qquad \vec{P} \quad \vec{P} \quad \vec{F}_{1} \quad \vec{F}_{1} \cdot \hat{u} = \vec{O}$ $\vec{P} \quad \vec{P} \quad \vec{F}_{1} = \vec{E} \cdot \hat{u} \quad \vec{F}_{1} \cdot \vec{v} = \vec{O}$
- Then the fields you see are
 - $\vec{E} = \vec{E}_{\parallel} \cdot \vec{u} + \vec{v}(u) \cdot \vec{E}_{\perp} + \vec{v}(u) \cdot \vec{u} \times \vec{B}_{\perp}$
 - $\vec{B} = B_{\parallel} \hat{u} + v(u) \vec{B}_{\perp} v(u) + \vec{U} \times \vec{E}_{\perp}$
 - Notice that we agree on the components of the fields in the direction û! Its the parts perp. to û that we disagree on.
- Let's be a bit more explicit. Imagine that we have two unit vectors $\hat{v} \notin \hat{w}$ that are \bot to $\hat{w} \notin \hat{v}$ each other. Together, $\hat{v}, \hat{v}, \hat{v} \notin \hat{w}$ make up a right-handed coord system w/
 - $\hat{\mathcal{U}} \times \hat{\mathcal{V}} = \hat{\mathcal{W}} \qquad \hat{\mathcal{V}} \times \hat{\mathcal{W}} = \hat{\mathcal{U}} \qquad \hat{\mathcal{W}} \times \hat{\mathcal{U}} = \hat{\mathcal{V}}$
 - The components of $\vec{E}_{\perp} \in \vec{B}_{\perp}$ can be written
 - $\vec{E}_{\perp} = \vec{E}_{v} \vec{v} + \vec{E}_{w} \vec{w} \qquad \vec{B}_{\perp} = \vec{B}_{v} \vec{v} + \vec{B}_{w} \vec{w}$
 - $J_{\tilde{u}} \times \vec{E}_{I} = -\mathcal{U} E_{W} \hat{v} + \mathcal{U} E_{V} \hat{w} \qquad \vec{\mathcal{U}} \times \vec{B}_{I} = -\mathcal{U} B_{W} \hat{v} + \mathcal{U} B_{V} \hat{w}$

So if I observe fields $\vec{E} = E_{\mu}\hat{u} + E_{\nu}\hat{v} + E_{\nu}\hat{w} \qquad \vec{B} = B_{\mu}\hat{u} + B_{\nu}\hat{v} + B_{\nu}\hat{w}$ $E_{\mu} \qquad \vec{E}_{\perp} \qquad B_{\parallel} \qquad B_{\parallel}$ Then the fields you measure are $E'_{n} = E_{n} = \varepsilon'_{n} = \varepsilon(n) \cdot (E_{v} - nB_{w}) = \varepsilon'_{n} = \varepsilon(n) \cdot (E_{w} + nB_{v})$ $B_{n}' = B_{n} \qquad B_{v}' = \delta(u) \times \left(B_{v} + \frac{u}{c^{2}}E_{w}\right) \qquad B_{v}' = \delta(u) \times \left(B_{w} - \frac{u}{c^{2}}E_{v}\right)$ - Does this agree w/ what we saw in class? We considered an electrically neutral wire carrying a current $I = \lambda v \hat{z}$. It produced no electric field, $\dot{\epsilon}$ a magnetic field $\dot{B} = (M_0 I/2\pi s) \hat{\phi}$. In a frame of reference moving w/ i = u z , you'd see: $\hat{\mathcal{U}} = \hat{\mathcal{Z}} \quad \hat{\mathcal{V}} = \hat{\mathcal{S}} \quad \hat{\mathcal{W}} = \hat{\mathcal{G}} \quad \vec{\mathcal{E}} = \mathbf{O} \quad \vec{\mathcal{B}} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{I}}{2\pi \mathbf{S}} \quad \hat{\mathcal{W}} = \frac{\mathcal{M}_{\mathbf{O}} \mathbf{\lambda} \mathbf{V}}{2\pi \mathbf{S}} \quad \hat{\mathcal{W}}$ $4 E'_{n} = 0 \qquad E'_{s} = \sigma(u) \cdot \left(0 - u \frac{u_{o} \lambda v}{2\pi s}\right) \qquad E'_{\phi} = 0$ $B'_{w} = O \qquad B'_{s} = O \qquad B'_{\phi} = \tau(w) \cdot \left(\frac{w_{o} \lambda v}{2\pi s} - O\right)$ $L_{3} \stackrel{\overrightarrow{E}'}{=} - \frac{u_{0}}{2\pi s} \frac{\lambda u v}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}/2}} \stackrel{\widehat{s}}{=} \stackrel{\overrightarrow{B'}}{=} \frac{u_{0}}{2\pi s} \frac{\lambda v}{\sqrt{1 - u^{2}/2}} \stackrel{\widehat{\rho}}{\to}$ Which is what we derived from considering how the charge density & current changed due to length contractron & relativistic addition of velocities. Now, if you carefully compare w/ the expressions from class, you'll notice that Eo No = 1/c2. We'll explain why later. For

now, can you show the following using the results above?

 $\vec{E} \cdot \vec{E} - c^2 \vec{B} \cdot \vec{B} = \vec{E}' \cdot \vec{E}' - c^2 \vec{B}' \cdot \vec{B}'$